

Drilling rocks with laser, Sci-Fi or new reality? A DeepU case study

H



Geoserv

Università degli Studi di Padova



Prevent

 CO_2

Pawel Slupski, PhD pawelmichal.slupski@unipd.it





Wrocław University of Science and Technology





Why drill with a laser?

Penetration mechanisms in non mechanical drilling techniques (after Mauer 1980)



Advantages of laser technology

- commercial availability
- modularity

目

Ħ

• no losses on transmission

Drawbacks of laser technology

- low absorbance on rocks (>50%, 1070nm)
- complicated optical system
- low efficiency for evaporation (not confirmed!)

DeepU Laser

- Ytterbium fiber laser
- Power range 0.17 30 kW
- Wavelength 1070 ± 10 nm
- Continuous beam



目

Ħ

Laser drilling experiments

Experimental setups

- Linear track tests (LTT)
- Punctual tests (PT)
- DeepU drill-head tests (DeepU)

Selected lithologies

- primary lithologies; granite, sandstone, limestone
- secondary lithologies; gneiss, basalt, slate, migmatite

Methods

- IR-imaging (FLIR GF77a)
- photogrammetry
- optical and electron microscopy
- XRD, XRF









Laser-rock interactions

How radiation interact with rocks?

- absorption and reflection
- scattering, transmission

Parameters controlling absorption rate

- radiation wavelength
- angle of incident
- surface roughness
- rock type

Laser-rock interaction

- rock texture (grain size)
 - mineral composition
- thermo-physical properties
- chemical composition
- power

•

• irradiation time

Radiation absorbance of granite

目

Ħ



Rock

Fractures

www.deepu.eu



Laser-rock interactions - results

Linear Track Tests



Combination of IRimaging and analysis of lasing products allow to higher correlate power observable process to power density

Ħ

目

Punctual Tests



Expected order spallation -> melting -> vaporization



Linear Track Tests a) to +305 Pp decrease Isou W/cm² TAB W/cm² Spallation



power density

Lower



Combination of IRimaging and analysis of lasing products allow to correlate observable process to power density

目

Ħ

All three processes often occur simultaneously!

Punctual Tests





Laser-rock interactions - results

- spallation, melting and vaporization often occur together but to various intensity
- occurrence of each process depends on power density, irradiation time and rock type
- Power density based process diagrams were constructed for granite, sandstone and limestone
 Laser-rock interaction dependence on power density



Ħ



目

Ħ

Laser-rock interactions - results

Implications for laser drilling techniques

penetration by laser induced thermal spallation is possible for useful

borehole diameters

 drilling boreholes with useful diameters by melting-vaporization require more power that is <u>currently</u> available



www.deepu.eu



Melting-vaporization laser drilling



目

Ħ



C)

Deep U-tube heat exchanger breakthrough: combining laser and cryogenic gas for geothermal energy exploitation

Vitrification



epoxy glass glass alass+minerals glass+minerals

Vitrification of borehole walls is **possible with** melting-vaporization drilling

Ħ

目

- Layer of glass is 5 10 mm thick in granite sample
- **Glass transition** temperature is 750-950°C depending on chemical composition of melt
- Glass is heterogenous, it fills fractures and microlites might be present

Viscosity of granitic melt





Vitrification

- glass is heterogenous
- contain relic minerals
- filling fractures
- contain microlites

What are mechanical properties of lasergenerated glass?

Can laser-generated glass substitute borehole casing?











Electron microscope images of laser-generated glass in granite

Ħ

目

glass I





目

Ħ

Thermal spallation laser drilling

DeepU laser system

• divergent beam



IR-record of DeepU laser thermal spallation



Summary

- successful penetration in granite, sandstone and H₂O saturated limestone
- balanced temperature (T_b) of spallation was determined





Spalls characterization

Spalls collection and preparation



- size of the particles can be controlled with laser power
- thermally spalled particles were characterized (size distribution, sphericity, roundness)
- spalls can be removed from borehole and transported to the surface by pneumatic force of flushing system (See in next presentation!)

Electron microscope images of spalls





Granite

目

Ħ







Sandstone











www.accpa.c



目

Ħ

Thermal spallation laser drilling

Sustainable thermal spallation laser drilling

- rate of lowering down drilling string has to correspond to penetration rate
- flushing system must efficiently remove all the spalls from the borehole bottom
- pneumatic force of flushing system must be able to transport spalls to the surface





500 µm

Laser-induced damage

Thermally spalled crater



- shearing fractures related to spallation reach maximum 1mm in depth into the rock
- spallation does not affect thermophysical properties of rocks (not detectable)

Electron microscope images of fractures (red lines)

目

Ħ



lime

200 um



Efficiency of laser drilling

Rate of penetration $ROP = \frac{h}{t_i} \left(\frac{mm}{s}\right)$ Specific energy for fiber laser drilling $S_e = \frac{Pt_i}{V} \left(\frac{kJ}{cm^3}\right)$

Ħ

Thermal spallation (5 cms) Melting-vaporization (1 cms) S_{e} (kJ/cm³) Lithology ROP (m/h) ROP (m/h) S_{e} (kJ/cm³) 5.6 40.1 10.0 0.52 granite 14.8 4.1 2.26 9.2 sandstone spal. 0.44 47.3 2.5 86.7 melt. limestone 4.5 16.3 limestone_H₂O sat. 25.1 2.3 sandstone_H₂O sat.

Thermally spalled borehole





Summary – drilling regimes

melting-vaporization laser drilling





Ħ





••

thermal spallation laser drilling













目

Ħ

Conclusions

- drilling rocks with laser is possible!
- laser-induced thermal spallation is the most efficient rock penetration process
- laser-induced melting-vaprorization is more demanding energetically but form a vitrified layer on the rock surface
- first thermal spallation laser drilling system (DeepU) was successfully tested at

laboratory scale





目

Ħ



Thank You For Your Attention!



This research is funded by the European Union (G.A. 101046937). However, the views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EISMEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Thank You For Your Attention!