

## Drilling geothermal wells with laser: Sci-Fi or new reality?

Slupski P.<sup>(1)</sup>, Cerwenka G.<sup>(2)</sup>, Chorowski M.<sup>(3)</sup>, Di Sipio E.<sup>(1)</sup>, Galgaro A.<sup>(1)</sup>, Mallin K.<sup>(4)</sup>, Manzella A.<sup>(5)</sup>, Pasquali R.<sup>(4)</sup>, Romanowski A. <sup>(6)</sup>, Sassi R. <sup>(1)</sup>, Steinmeier O. <sup>(2)</sup>, Pockele L. <sup>(7)</sup>,

<sup>(1)</sup> Department of Geosciences, UNIPD, Padova, Italy, <sup>(2)</sup> Fraunhofer IAPT, Hamburg, Germany, <sup>(3)</sup> Department of Cryogenics and Aviation Engineering, WUST, Wroclaw, Poland, <sup>(4)</sup> TERRA GEOSERV LIMITED, Ireland, <sup>(5)</sup> Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, CNR, Pisa, Italy, <sup>(6)</sup> PREVENT GMBH, Viersen, Germany<sup>(7)</sup>, R.E.D. SRL, Padova, Italy

#### 1. Why drill with a laser?

The potential of geothermal resources is currently constrained by existing drilling technology. To address this issue, the DeepU Project is investigating the application of laser and cryogenic gas for drilling deep wells (>4 km) to

a)

realise a U-shaped closed-loop geothermal heat exchanger. Laser drilling offers a promising solution by enhancing efficiency and reducing well completion costs. Two drilling rock removal mechanisms by Mauer distinguished were (1980). Thermal spallation (Fig. 1a) and **melting-vaporization** (Fig. 1b).



### 2. Laser drilling experiments

Ħ

drilling laboratory-scale laser Two types of experiments were performed on granite, sandstone, limestone and basalt.

**1)** Preliminary laser tests performed on 5 cm cubes, beam diameter 1-10 mm, power 200-12500 W, shown in Fig. 2a

# Introduction

₄<sup>a)</sup> IR-cạmera

<sup>b)</sup> IR-camera

– laser optics

DeepU

drilling

head

| spalling  | melting&vaporization              |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| ig. 1 Classification of non-contact drilling mechanism, |                                   |  |
| modified after Mauer 1                                  | .980. Thermal spallation drilling |  |
| a), and melting-vaporiz                                 | ation drilling (b)                |  |

#### 3. Laser-rock interactions

Image analysis of preliminary laser tests (Fig. 4) shows the occurrence of:

- spallation (S),
- melting (M),
- vaporization (V)



### Results





L4

2) DeepU drill head tests performed on rock slabs 50x35x15cm, beam diameter 5-20cm, power 6-30 kW, with  $N_2$  as a flushing medium, shown in Fig. 2b.



rock

Fig. 2 Schematic drawings showing two experimental setups; preliminary laser tests (a), DeepU drill head tests (b)



Fig. 3 Pictures of laboratory (a), IR camera (b), laser drilling head (c), and 30kW fibre laser (d).

#### 4. Thermal Spallation Laser Drilling

Thermal **spallation** is most efficient the rock removal process (Fig. 5).





#### **5. Vitrification**

Vitrification has been archived where the beam diameter was drilling <10mm, and laser meltingoccurred in the vaporization regime. The vitrified layer has 1-5 mm of thickness C) of and composed İS homogenous glass and partially molten minerals (see Fig. 7).

Fig. 7 Images of borehole drilled in granite with vitrified layer (a, b), and BSE images showing glass on the borehole wall (c, d).

#### 6. Laser induced damage







Fig. 5 Thermal spallation drilling in DeepU drill head test and IR image of the process.

The avg. temperature spallation of was measured for granite, sandstone, basalt and limestone and it was **400°**C, 550°C, >1400°C, and >1100°C, respectively.

t₀+1.7s t₀+10s +4s +6s

Fig. 6 IR images, pictures of craters, and records of temperature from DeepU drill head tests for granite (a-c), sandstone (d-f), basalt (g-i), and limestone (j-l).

## Conclusions

Thermally spalled boreholes

Laser-induced damage was studied by proxy, measuring Vp and thermal conductivity up to 6cm and has not shown any change in the rock. Electron microscope images (BSE) show the borehole's edge with **fractures reaching 1 mm deep into the rock** in all studied lithologies (see Fig. 8).

a)



Fig. 8 BSE images showing fractures in borehole wall induced by thermal spallation for granite (a), sandstone (b), and limestone (c).

Geoserv

C RED Renewable Energy Development



Wrocław University

of Science and Technology

This research is funded by the European Union (G.A. 101046937). However, the views and opinions **IAPT** expressed are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or EISMEA. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

#### 7. Summary

laser-induced thermal spallation The might revolutionize drilling the operations, as the archived ROP was up to 25m/h. The DeepU drilling concepts are shown in Fig. 9

Tab. 1 Summary of laser drilling experiments at 26kW,  $5 \circ \text{cm}$ , N<sub>2</sub> flux. # - H<sub>2</sub>O saturated sample.

| Lithology  | ROP (m/h) | SE (kJ/cm³) |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| granite    | 10,0      | 5,6         |
| sandstone  | 14,8      | 4,1         |
| limestone  | 2,5       | 86,7        |
| limestone# | 4,5       | 16,3        |
| sandstone# | 25,1      | 2,3         |





9 DeepU drilling concepts for melting-Fig. vaporization (a), and thermal spallation (b).

